

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,524	01/06/2004	Yukihiro Sugiyama	65933-068 3298	
7590 07/14/2006		EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			LE, HOA T	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 ,			1773	
		DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/751,524	SUGIYAMA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		H. T. Le	1773	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 7-38 is/are pending in the applia) Of the above claim(s) 17-38 is/are withdraw Claim(s) 11,12,15 and 16 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,7,8,13 and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <i>June 2006</i> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims 17-38 drawn to a nonelected election. The election has been considered without traverse for lack of adequate response as set forth in the last office action. See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Smalley publication (US 2002/0068170) as set forth in the last office action and further discussed below.
- 3.1 Applicant argues that the Smalley publication does not suggest "a structure in which a bonding material bonds the polymer wrapping the first structure to the second structure as in claim 1, or a structure wherein a bonding material bonds the polymer wound around the first structure to the second structure as in claim 2." Claim 30 of the Smalley publication recites "a first polymer molecule that coats a first single-wall carbon nanotube of the plurality of single-wall carbon nanotubes is cross-linked with a second polymer molecule that coats a second single-wall carbon nanotube of the plurality of single-wall carbon nanotubes." (emphasis added). The claim clearly sets forth bonding of the first structure of the second structure by crosslinking, and the bonding material is the crosslinking bond.

Art Unit: 1773

3.2 With regard to claims 7 and 8, the molecules with a specific interaction are the molecules that form the crosslinking bonds between the two polymers.

Page 3

With regard to claims 13 and 14, applicant argues, "Claims 13 and 14 specify 3.3 that the second structure is a base material. In contrast, ... Smalley merely indicate a structure in which carbon nanotubes are bonded with each other. ... Smalley neither disclose nor suggest a structure in which the first structure (carbon nanotube structure) is bonded with a base material." (emphasis added). Claims 13 and 14 do not recite a "base material" but rather a "base member". There's a difference between the term "base material" and "base member". "Base material" means a material that yields hydroxyl ions (OH-) in aqueous solution, while "base member", in this case, is simply a nomenclature for the second structure because the specification does not specifically define "base member". Therefore, when the second polymer that coats a second carbon nanotube is crosslinked with the first polymer molecule that coats a first carbon nanotube as recited in claim 30 of the Smalley publication, the second-polymer-coated nanotube constitutes a base member within the meaning of the claim language.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 11, 12, 15 and 16 are allowed over the prior art references of record.
- 5. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1773

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

- 6.1. <u>Claims 9 and 10</u>: The prior art reference, namely the Smalley publication, only suggests crosslinking reaction between synthetic polymers. Therefore, it is neither anticipatory nor obvious to form a bonding material that comprises a set of molecules which is a combination of ligand and receptor or of an antigen and an antibody.
- 6.2. Claims 11 and 12: It is neither anticipatory nor obvious to deviate from the teaching of synthetic thermoset polymers (crosslinkable material) as the coating material to select polypeptide, a natural biopolymer, as the coating material as required in instant claims 11 and 12.
- 6.3. Claims 15 and 16: Smalley suggests the use of coated nanotubes in electrically insulating matrix (par. [0017]). Thus, it is neither anticipatory nor obvious to deviate from an electrically insulating material as suggested by Smalley to a metal layer, which is an electrically conductive material.

Conclusion

- 7. Examiner's Note: The newly submitted reference WO 02/16257 is an equivalent prior art to the Smalley publication.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding the rejection to claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13 and 14 as set forth in paragraphs 3.1 to 3.3 above.

Art Unit: 1773

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773

July 9, 2006